

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/841,296	04/24/2001	Scott Lee Wellington	5659-03600/EBM	3881
7590 08/10/2004			EXAMINER	
DEL CHRISTENSEN			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN	
SHELL OIL CO P.O. BOX 2463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, T	X 77252-2463		1764	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/841,296	WELLINGTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Walter D. Griffin	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice the same of this application in the same of t	cation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		·
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consections	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)☐ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 4403-4428 and 5396-5400.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0. Other:	() () () () () () () () () ()	
		Walt D. Duff
		Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner
Datast and Tradamark Office		Art Unit: 1764

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/841,296

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Adding the limitation that the condensable mixture has a hydrogen to carbon atomic ratio of at least 1.7 to independent claims 4403 and 4418 arises new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument that the hydrogen to carbon atomic ratio as claimed does not appear to be taught or suggested by the Lindquist reference is not persuasive. The carbon to hydrogen ratio of 5.93 in the Lindquist reference is not defined as an atomic or molar ratio. It may, in fact, be a weight or mass ratio. If this is the case, then the calculated hydrogen to carbon molar or atomic ratio would be within the claimed range. In any event, it is not clear that the Lindquist reference does not disclose or suggest the claimed ratio. Therefore, applicant has not distinguished the claimed product from that disclosed by Lindquist.